UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,166	02/09/2006	Giovanni Mazzarolo	SAIC2235610078800111	7809
	7590 12/10/200 CHIN ROSENMAN LI	EXAMINER		
575 MADISON		PATEL, TAJASH D		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,166	MAZZAROLO, GIOVANNI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tejash D. Patel	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3 Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 27-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27-54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/568,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 27-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alaloof (US 6,125,478) in view of O'Meara et al. (US 7,182,662). Alaloof discloses a motorcycle jacket including a plurality of airbags (110,130) to protect against fall and impact that is inflated by electronic means in response the detection risk and danger emitted by sensors, col. 10, lines 41-49. A first of the airbags (110) extends on the front above the chest and a second of the airbags extends on the rear as shown in figure 2G. Furthermore, the inflating device includes pyrotechnics, col. 9, line 1- col. 10, line 65. Sensors are secure to a vehicle such that upon a fall electrical signal are sent to inflate the airbags as shown in figures 6 (A-C). The jacket has an front opening through which the airbag is deployed between flaps (542,544) as shown in figure 11. Additionally, support means includes bands/straps (44) that are positioned about the chest having the electronic means attached thereto as shown in figure 4B. A bottom portion of the device covers a bottom part of the body as shown in figure 4B. Also, the jacket includes sensors (538) therein, col. 11, lines 10-25. However, Alaloof does not show the front opening being offset.

O'Meara discloses an inflatable garment having an offset front opening with a zipper closure (11f) as shown in figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the garment of Alaloof with an offset front opening as taught by O'Meara so that the deployed airbag can substantially protected the chest area of the body or as required for a particular application thereof.

Furthermore, it is obvious that the front offset opening of Alaloof when viewed with O'Meara can be curved to conform about the body. In addition, sensors (538) within the garment of Alaloof when viewed with O'Meara can be deployed to protect the user from impact, col. 11, lines 11-26.

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment and arguments filed on August 29, 2008 have been considered. In view of such, a newly discovered prior art has prompted this office action to be made new-non Final and the arguments are moot.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,166 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The examiner's supervisor Mr. Gary Welch can be reached at (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

December 1, 2008 /Tejash Patel/

Tejash Patel